UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,844	01/06/2006	Bum-Gyu Choi	29137.074.00	8704
	7590 12/31/200 DNG & ALDRIDG E L	EXAMINER		
1900 K STREE		CAMERON, ERMA C		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/541,844	CHOI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		/Erma Cameron/	1792				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	lress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED IN LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f te, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this con DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	September 2008					
•		is action is non-final.					
3)	<i>'</i> —						
- , 	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-9 is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-9 is/are rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac		ne Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bure	·		3			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notic	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) the of Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/541,844

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-2 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a) Claim 1: it is not clear what "substituted or unsubstituted with fluorine" modifies, only "carbon atoms" or all the species that precede the phrase (used twice).
- b) Claim 4: it is not clear what "substituted or unsubstituted with fluorine" modifies, only "carbon atoms" or all the species that precede the phrase (used twice).
- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1792

4. The rejection of Claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is withdrawn because of the arguments presented in the 9/26/2008 amendment.

5. The rejection of Claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is withdrawn because of the amendment filed 9/26/2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. The rejection of Claims 1-2 and 5-9 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Nishikawa et al (US2001/0055892) is withdrawn because of the amendment filed 9/26/2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1792

9. Claims 1-2 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Nishikawa et al (US2001/0055892).

'892 teaches formation of an insulating film on a semiconductor device by hydrolyzing

and condensing a silane of formula 1-3 and formula 4. In formula 1, R may be H, thus being

hydrosilane. Water and organic solvent are present. A base catalyst like ammonia is also present.

After application to a substrate, the coating is dried and cured. [0006]-[0015] [0046] [0129]-

[0130]

In formula 1 of '892, when R=H and a=1, formula 1 meets the limitations of Formula 1

of claim 1.

The compounds of claim 4 are not required, as "other than hydrosilane compounds" is

not a requirement of claim 2. However, formula 2 of '892 meets the limitations of formula 3 of

claim 4 where p=0 and R4 is alkxoy.

'892 fails to teach that the MW is at least 5000, but it would have been obvious to

optimize the MW because the MW is known to affect the properties of a coating film.

Response to Arguments

The applicant has argued that '892 does not teach a MW of >5000. It is the examiner's

position that a) the MW is not a critical element of the claimed invention (see the statement on

page 13 that "it is usually preferred to set the molecular weight of the final product, 5000 or

greater") and b) that MW is a conventional parameter to control when making a polymer and is

selected based on the eventual use of the polymer, and that it would have been obvious to a

skilled practitioner to optimize the MW.

Art Unit: 1792

10. Claims 1-2 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KR

20020097415.

See translation.

'415 teaches making an insulating membrane by hydrolysis condensing Chemical Formula 1 and 2. If R5 is H in formula 2, the polymer would meet the limitations of formula 1 of claim 1 (pages 2-3 of translation). Additives such as organic molecules may be present (p 10). A base catalyst may be present (p 14). The MW of the formed polymer is 500-1000000, which overlaps with the MW range claimed by applicant (p 15). After application to a semiconductor or

other electronic device, the coating is dried and cured (p 17, 19).

11. Claims 1-2 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KR

20030000709.

See translation.

'709 teaches making an insulating membrane by hydrolysis condensing Chemical

Formula 1, 2, 4 and 4. Formula 1 overlaps with formula 1 of claim 1, and formula 3 overlaps

with formula 4 of claim 4 (pages 5-7 of translation). Additives such as organic molecules may

be present (p 12). A base catalyst may be present (p 11). The MW of the formed polymer is 500-

1000000, which overlaps with the MW range claimed by applicant (p 12). After application to a

semiconductor or other electronic device, the coating is dried and hardened (p 13-16).

Art Unit: 1792

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Erma Cameron/ whose telephone number is 571-272-1416. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Erma Cameron/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792

December 26, 2008